An official website of the United States government Here's how you know

FULL TEXT LINKS



 Randomized Controlled Trial
 J Refract Surg. 2021 Jul;37(7):460-465.

 doi: 10.3928/1081597X-20210420-01. Epub 2021 Jul 1.

Visual Performance of Two Diffractive Trifocal Intraocular Lenses: A Randomized Trial

Julius Hienert, Kristina Stjepanek, Nino Hirnschall, Manuel Ruiss, Hannah Zwickl, Oliver Findl

PMID: 34236906 DOI: 10.3928/1081597X-20210420-01

Abstract

Purpose: To compare two trifocal intraocular lenses (IOLs), the RayOne Trifocal RAO603F IOL (closed-loop haptic IOL; Rayner Intraocular Lenses Limited) and the AT Lisa tri 839 MP IOL (plate-haptic IOL; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG), concerning optical and capsular bag performance.

Methods: Patients scheduled for cataract surgery received either a closed-loop haptic IOL or a platehaptic IOL in the first eye and the other IOL in the second eye. Three months postoperatively, autorefraction and subjective refraction, uncorrected and corrected distance visual acuity at 4 m, 80 cm, and 40 cm, an objective reading test (Salzburg Reading Desk; SRD Vision), a defocus curve, IOL tilt and decentration, a questionnaire about dysphotopsia, and grading of halos with a halometer were performed.

Results: Eighty-eight eyes of 44 patients were included. Visual acuity was comparable between both IOLs. The closed-loop haptic IOL performed better in the defocus curve at -1.50 diopters (D) (0.08 \pm 0.10 vs 0.12 \pm 0.09 logMAR; *P* < .01). The plate-haptic IOL had better contrast sensitivity without glare under mesopic and photopic conditions in miosis (*P* = .0018 and .002, respectively) and mydriasis (*P* = .017 and .003, respectively). Significant differences were found for less overall subjective disturbance (*P* = .047) and starbursts (*P* = .039) for the plate-haptic IOL, but not for the other positive dysphotopsia symptoms.

Conclusions: Both trifocal IOLs delivered good and comparable visual function with low degrees of disturbing dysphotopsia. The closed-loop haptic IOL was slightly superior in the defocus curve, whereas the plate-haptic IOL was slightly superior concerning contrast sensitivity and positive dysphotopsia. [*J Refract Surg.* 2021;37(7):460-465.].

Related information

MedGen

LinkOut - more resources

Full Text Sources Atypon Ovid Technologies, Inc.